







## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILIN		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/254,617 03/22/1999		JACQUES MALLET	ST96025-US	7283	
29693	7590	09/10/2003			
WILEY, REIN & FIELDING, LLP				EXAMINER	
ATTN: PATENT ADMINISTRATION 1776 K. STREET N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			FALK, ANNE MARIE		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1632	25
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/254,617 MALLET ET AL Advisory Action Art Unit Examiner Anne-Marie Falk, Ph.D. 1632 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 July 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \times they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 64-94 and 96-128 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 64,66,70-91,97-112 and 114-128. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 65,67-69,92-96 and 113. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 July 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: \_\_\_\_ Anne-Marie Falt Anne-Marie Falk, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 1632

Application/Control Number: 09/254,617

Art Unit: 1632

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

## Continuation of 2. NOTE:

If entered, the proposed claim amendments would necessitate a new ground of rejection of Claim 95 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for lack of antecedent basis for the term "transcriptional promoter".

Continuation of 5. does not place the application in condition for allowance because:

If entered, the proposed claim amendments would overcome the standing grounds of rejection, but would necessitate a new ground of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for the reasons indicated hereinabove.